

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,042	CAMPAGNOLO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vicky A. Johnson.
- (3)_____.
- (2) Stephan B. Schott.
- (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2005.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12 and 17-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Schott agreed to cancel claims 12 and 17-20, but upon further consideration it was determined that the Double Patenting rejection of the previous office action still applies to all the claims. Therefore, the art rejection of claims 12 and 17-20 has been put forth.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

WJ 1/24/05